WORKING PAPER NO. 186

PADDY PRODUCTION, PROCESSING AND WOMEN WORKERS IN INDIA - THE SOUTH VERSUS THE NORTHEAST

GITA SEN



Centre for Development Studies Ulloor, Trivandrum 695 011

December 1983

77548

PADDY PRODUCTION, PROCESSING AND WORLD WORLDS IN INDIA THE SOUTH VERGUS THE LORINGAST

The general belief that paddy cultivation is associated with the presence of women workers in agriculture, and, in particular, of women wage labourers, is largely based on the experience of east and south-east Asia. In India too there is a common perception that the regional concentration of women agricultural labourers is based on the extent of paddy cultivation relative to other foodgrains. In fact, however, systematic analysis across states and districts elicits no such simple association between the two. In earlier work, I had found, if anything, that wemen agricultural labourers predominate, inter alie, in arcs, where the fine grains (rice and wheat) are not grown, this lad we have alies analysis of the rice growing arcs, of the bounty, and of the proofficer employed in paddy cultivation and successing.

Rice has traditionally been a own in Isola in the south or eventure (Andhra Pradech, Pamil Nam, Kerele and source of Formestalm), in some of the coastal and interior districts of an Theorems derivation, state 180% Maharashtra, and in the eastern and confidential atom a state (Onion, to be Bengal, Assam and large parts of Pahan, ast Tutor Emission at Barie 1800 Pradeck). However, as a broad generalization, some a microbial flat in the same proportion of the female population are to be round soft flat in a southern states, and only to a much lesser extent in the areas and only to a much lesser extent in the areas and only to a such lesser extent in the areas and only to a such lesser extent in the areas and only to be shall, in this paper, compare production of this year? hese two regions are by no means homogenous in agreeous, the terms, and be shall use this broad division only as a starting point.

8. 21.1

Paddy production across regions

A striking feature of the regional comparison of paddy acreage, production and yield is that the eastern and north-eastern states have the largest adreage under paddy, but the lowest yields. The four Southern states have the highest yields in both the early 1960's and the mid 1970's (i.e. pre- and post - HYV), followed by Mahamashtra, West Bengal and Assam, while Orissa, Bihar, Uttar Pradesh and Madhya Pradesh have the lowest yields. See Table I. This pattern appears to be broadly true during the pre-independence period as well, with the highest yields being found in Madras presidency. See Table II. The pre and post independence data cannot be dempared more systematically because of the wide-range reorganization of states after independence. It is apparent, however, that the regional patterns of area and yield are not a new phenomenon, but have existed historically.

What accounts for this pattern appears to be a systematic difference in the extent of irrigation. As far back as 1918-19, fully 70% of the rice acreage in Madras Presidency was irrigated. In the period 1927-28 to 1936-37, on average 72% of rice area in Madras was irrigated, while only 10% was irrigated in the United Provinces, with the other principal rice regions falling between. See Table III. Again, this pattern continues to hold in the more recent period, as can be seen in the table. Over 90% of rice area in Tamil Nadu and Andhra Pradesh is new irrigated, while the irrigated proportion is considerably lower in the eastern and north-eastern states. The proportion of area irrigated is, admittedly, only a first approximation to an explanation of yield differences. The quality of irrigation (wells, tanks, canals or pumpsets) affects the actual control of the farmer over the amount and timing of water use, which are also critical

to the yield obtained. Likewise, the type of water source together with the social and institutional structure affect the <u>distribution</u> of irrigation water, its timely availability to various classes of farmers, and hence the average yield.

Irrigation and female labour

Granting those coveres about the quality and distribution of irrigation water, the proportion of irrigated area would affect labour use in paddy cultivation directly by making it more possible to transplant rice, rather than sewing it broadcast. Transplanting becomes possible for a rice variety of a given duration if water is available for seed bed preparation prior to the actual cultivation season. Thus, transplanting is also possible in areas with a long and reliable monsoon, as is usually the case in much of India's western coast line, covering the coastal districts of Kerala, Karmataka and Maharashtra, as well as in the north-east states of Assam and West Ben al. Many of these districts have low irrigation ratios, but transplanting is still possible within rain-fed agriculture. Irrigation then is, by and large, a sufficient but not a necessary condition for transplanting rice. Allowing for this, the demand for transplanting labour is likely to be much higher in irrigated regions.

Irrigation also indirectly affects the demand for labour, by raising yields, and hence increasing the demand for harvesting labour. Since female labour is usually quite important in both transplanting and harvesting paddy, we would expect a strong association cross-sectionally between the proportion of area irrigated and the demand for female agricultural labour. This effect would be strengthened if irrigation also led to an increase in cropping intensity, ceteris paribus. All this is, of course, predicated on the fact that techniques for replacing human labour

by machine power in either transpl sting or harvesting paddy have not made much headway in India.

Testing this hypothesis about the relationship between irrigation, transplanting and the demand for female agricultural labour requires dal on all three viriables, and these are not entirely satisfactory. Data on the proportion of irrigated to total rice area are fairly reliable, and have been obtained from the <u>Indian Agricultural Statistics</u>, which provides both net area irrigated (by irregation source) and gross area irrigated (by We have used the proportion of gross area irrigated under rice to the gross cropped area under rice.

Unfortunately, we do not have available to us any recent data on the exact proportion of rice area that is transplanted. Our data go bad to the pre-independence period, and are available for two triennia, 1934 to 1936-37, and 1946-47 to 1948-49. See Table IV. Two features of the data merit particular attention. Firstly, the charp increase in the proportion transplanted in Bengal in the later triennium is probably because of the exclusion of East Bengal which had become a part of Pakistan. It is likely that the proportion of broadcast rice was much higher in East Bengal since rice was grown there in low lying tracts subject to flooding Secondly, while the transplanted proportion in Madras is certainly higher than in Bihar, Orissa, the Central Provinces and the United Provinces, it is also high in both West Bengal and Assam, despite their low irrigation ratios. It is evident therefore that our classification of the districts into two broad regions is inadequate. We need at least a four-fold classal fication as follows:-

(a) the main rice growing districts of Andhra Pradesh, Tamilnadu,

Kerala and Karnataka - high irrigation ratios, high transplanting proportions;

- (b) the coastal districts of richarashtre and, to some extent, Karnataka and Ferala rainfed transplanting;
- (c) the rice-growing districts of eastern Madhyo Pradesh,
 eastern Uttar Pradesh, interior Orissa, and the Chhota
 Nagpur and North Bihar districts of Bihar mainly rainfed with high broadcast proportions;
- (d) West Bengal, Assam, coastal Orissa and the irrelated districts of Contral Bihar both rainfed and irrigated transplanting.

Ceteris paribus, we would expect the demand for female agricultural labour to be lowest in (c) above. Since our data on transplanting proportions are so meagre, we cannot directly tost their impact on the demand for female labour. We shall therefore focus on irrigation alone.

population density as an independent variable in the regression.

We estimated an equation for the incidence of women agricultural labourers in the rural female population using cross-sectional data for 96 districts of the country where the proportion of rice in the cross cropped area under feedgrains was over 25% in 1969-70.8/ This was the year nearest to the 1971 census, for which we could get data. Two versions of the equation were tried. In the first version, the proportion of gross cropped to not sown area (i.e. the multiple cropping in lex), the proportion of rice to gross cropped area under foodgrains, and the proportion of irrigated to gross cropped area were entered as right hand variables, in addition to population per hectare of gross cropped area (an index of population density), the Gini coefficient of ewned land, and the proportion of irrigated rice area to gross rice area. Since the first three variables proved to be insignificant, possibly due to multicollinearity, a second version was then with the Gini coefficient of ewned land, population density and the proportion of irrigated to total rice area as independent variables. The regression results for both versions and the correlation matrix are presented in Tables V and VI. The strong association between the irrigation ratio and the incidence of women agricultural labourers appears to conform to our starting hypothesis. The proportion of women agricultural labourers in the female pepulation appears to very systematically, inter alia, with the extent of irrigation, indicating possibly that it is not paddy cultivation per se but irrigated paddy cultivation that increases the incidence of female labourers.2/

Rice processing techniques and labour absorption

Although the negative impact of a decline in hand-pounding techniques on female employment in paddy processing has been noted in other countries,

notably Joza, few systematic stud as have been under taken for India. 10/
That there has been a very sharp decline over the last fifty to sixty
years or so, and that the decline was particularly marked during and
immediately following the Second World War, has been noted in some major
official documents. 11/ Here we shall attempt to provide some idea of the
regional dimensions of this decline, and suggest some hypotheses by way
of possible explanation.

The regional distribution of workers engaged in dehusking rice is presented in Tables VII and VIII for two census years, 1931 and 1961. Data for the two years are not strictly comparable due to changes in occupational definitions and in the territorial divisions. It is clear. though, that already in 1931, dehusking was a less labour intensive process in Madras when compared to Bengal, United Provinces, Bihar and Orissa. A rough index for this is the smaller number of workers engaged in dehusking per million tons of rice produced in Madras. Thus, the small power-driven hullers had already made greater inroads into the handpounding industry in Madras by 1931. The phenomenon was by no means poculiar to Madras. Indeed Census Superintendent L J Sedgwick speaks of the decline in handpounding employment in Bombay even earlier, between 1911 and 1921, consequent on the growth of mills. 12/ Indeed, for India as a whole, the total number of female workers engaged in dehusking declined from 981,342 in 1911 to 626,362 in 1921 and 482,187 in 1931; the number of male workers fell from 134.844 to 121,172 to 117.933 during the same period. 13/

A second notable feature of the period prior to the Second World War is that the number of women workers far outnumbered male workers, being in the ratio of 4:1 in 1931. The 1931 distribution shows that this was true in all the provinces. However, the decline between 1911 and 1931

was for rele precimitary for the men. The two a 51% decline for women compared to a 13% decline for men, indicating possibly that men were at least partially being reabsered by the rilling sector.

By 1961 wemen constituted only 45% of all workers in rice milling (inclusive of both the handpounding andpower driven sectors), although they still produminated in the household industry sector.

Our chief concern here is with the regional pattern which appears to indicate a greater relative importance of power-driven milling in the southern states, especially Andhra Pradesh and Tamil Nalu, compared to most of the eastern and north-eastern states, both before and after independence. The data we have extrined so far have been based on employment in milling. The distribution of the number of mills also indicates a shallor pattern. See Eable IX.

Data on the names of mills must nowever be treated with caution since they include mills of very different capacities, from small hullers to modern large race mills. We have not been able to obtain capacity dat for the mill sector. The <u>Bulletin on Food Statistics</u> provides data on number of mills by different categories - hullers, sheller-cum-hullers and modern rice mills, without providing average capacity ratings. However, in the early 1960's there were very few large mills, and the majority of the mills in all states were hullers. Of course, even within hullers, there may be wide capacity variations 14/and this must be borne in mind.

We do, however, have some data that indicate that the ranking of milling capacity and number of mills do not diverge, at least in the first decade after independence. The Rice Milling Committee of 1954-55 estimate

the proportion of handpounded to total rice production for the various states for 1953-54. See Table X. Applyin; these proportions to the total rice produced in 1959-60 (a normal production year), we can obtain estimates for the total amount of rice hand-pounded or milled in each state. These estimates are given in the table. There is a very strong positive association between the estimated emount of milled rice and the number of rice mills in each state in 1960. We may therefore reasonable conclude that the data on number of mills, total amount milled, and employment in the non-household sector, all point towards a greater alsolute and relative importance of power driven milling in Andhra Predesh and Tanil Malu as compared to Uttar Predesh, Bihar, Orissa, Assam or Modhya Predesh in the early 1960's. Among the eastern and north-eastern states, only West Bendel had a large musliar of mills or amount of milled rice in that period.

At least a part of the regional differences in milling can be attributed to the varying degrees of vigour with which the government's rice precurement policies were channeled through mills during the second world war. This policy appears to have been particularly strongly implemented in Madras provinces. 16/ But, as we have already noted, the greated importance of milling in Madras produces the war. The policies pursued during the war tended only to accentuate the regional pattern that was already in existence.

A clue to the possible reason behind this is available in some of the government reports on the subject.

"Hand-pounded rice is produced mostly by the growers and agricultural labourers for their donestic consumption. In several areas, however the hand-pounding industry has been

producing rice on a commercial scale and oven for export to other states in the country...... While the bulk of the milled rice is put on the market, only about one-fourth of the hand-pounded rice is marketed. Thus the total marketed surplus of rice consists more of milled rice than of hand-pounded rice! (Rice Economy of India, 1961, p.33).

A possible hypothesis that is indicated is that the higher the share of marketed surplus of rice in a region, the higher the proportion of production that is addled. The Rice Marketing Committee of 1941 had estimated the average marketable surplus by province for the triennium 1934—; to 1936—37, as also the proportion milled. These data are given in Table XI. There appears to be a positive association between the two, 17/ although it is clear that, on the one hand, not all of the marketable surplus was being milled in some provinces, while even some of the non-marketed production was being milled in others.

The relative cost of hand-pounding versus milling may have been one reason why more of the warksted rice tended to be milled. 18 Hand-pounding being generally more costly than milling, the price of hand-pounded rice would have had to be proportionately higher ceteris paritus in order to afford the seller an equal profit on both. It is doubtful whether this was the case. According to the Rice Marketing Committee of 1941, in many provinces there tended to be a price differential of one to four amous per maund in favour of handbounded rice of the fine or medium varieties. On the other hand, for the coarser varieties, milled rice was often higher priced than the hand-pounded. 19 Since, even the price differential in fine varieties was unlikely to have been large enough to cover the extension of hand-pounding, the logic of the market seemed to be firmly against

hand-pounding. This would particularly affect the cost calculation an intermediary trader who purchased polity, processed it and sold it in the form of rich. It may be a lass critical factor if paddy were retained for domestic consumption, and hand-pounded by family labour. While the imputed cost may be high in this case, the actual money cost of hand-for pounding might be lower than paying/milling. There might therefore be a greater tendency for the marketed paddy to be milled, than that which was retained for own consumption by the cultivating household. Varieties in the relative cost of price ratios as between hand-paying and and milled rice in the different provinces may have been an important reasons for the varying extents to which the marketed surplus tended to be milled.

This, however, needs further inventigation.

In the light of the prove discussion it would appear that government procurement policies furing the war give an extra fillip to the already favourable sconenies of power-driven milling. Although some post-war attempts were made to control the growth and spread of mills through Rice Mills Control Orders in some states, whese seased to operate when rice was decontrolled in 1954. In any event, although the First Five Year Plan attempted to introduce various measures, viz., the "Common Production Programmes" to allow occariatories of handpounding along with the sills, it become impossible to check the rapid (often unlicensed) growth of small hullers. Far more active and earlier (prior to the war) intervestion would have been necessary to improve the technology of hand-pounding and thereby strengthen its competitive position.

Conclusion

This paper has examined some of the regional dimensions of two aspects of paddy cultivation and processing which have traditionally bed highly intensive of female labour. Although the empirical evidence linking the practice of transplanting rice inter alia with the incidence of women agricultural labourers is not sufficiently up to date, the data do indicate a strong relationship between irrigation and inequality of land holding on the one hand and the presence of women labourers on the other. To the extent that transplanting is predicated on irrigation (and this is not true everywhere), we may infer that transplanting is linked the presence of women labourers in the current period as well.

The data on paddy processing indicate that milling paddy made great inrocks into female employment in hand-pounding in the main southern rice producing, sovinces even prior to the war. While you emment policies during the war undoubtedly speeded up the overall growth of mills and accontunted the regional differences, hand-pounding had already been deemed by economic considerations.

What is particularly interesting about the regional dimensions is the the regions where there is a high incidence of wemen labourers in paddy cultivation are also the regions where women's role in paddy processing had declined earliest. A much deeper analysis is required to study the possible inter-connections between the two. For example, one might hypothesise that the early presence of transplanting in the southern parts of the country and the consequent high demand for female labour reduced the supply of labour available for hand-pounding, thereby tilting the relative costs in favour of milling, once the technology became available.

On the other hand, the increase in milling would probably have increased the supply of female labour in cultivation and depressed the wage there. We enquire a better knowledge of the historical evolution of both the technology and the relative prices and wages in order to test these hypotheses.

Table I: Area, Production and Yield of Rice, post 1947, and % of women
Asricultural Labourers to the Rural Female Population, 1971

Area in hectares Production in tonnes yield in kg. per ha.

	·	1960-61 to 1962-63	1970-71 to 1972-73
Andhra - Pradesh	Area Production Yield % women agricultural	3, 276,352 4,139,708 1,263	3,046,600 4,582,400 1,441
	labourers		16%
Assam -	Area Production Yield %	1,879,785 1,706,783 908	2,001,600 2,021,900 1,009 0.3%
Bihar -	Area Production Yield	5,176,950 4,410,491 252 1,352	5,133,800 4,630,666 903 7%
Karnataka-	Area Production Yield %	1,057,171 1,430,764 1,352	1,096,800 1,932,900 1,762 9%
Kerala -	Area Production Yield %	778,086 1,054,953 1,356	874,100 1,329,800 1,521 7%
Madhya - Pradesh	Area Production Yield %	4,188,705 3,097,939 740	4,464,400 3,581,567 802 11%
Mahara- shtra	Area Froduction Yield %	1,319,816 1,381,930 1,048	1,324,066 1,259,066 945 14% contd

Contn. of T	able I		
Orissa -	Area Production Yield %	4,098,296 3,714,268 910	4,537,400 3,979,700 878 4%
Tamil Nadu-	Area Production Yield %	2,573,775 3,830,229 1,488	2,672,733 5,329,800 1,994 11%
Uttar			
Pradesh-	Area Production Yield %	2,573,775 3,210,365 763	4,565,900 3,575,200 3% 782 3%
West		•	
Bengal 🗕	Area Production Yield %	1,490,396 1,887,711 1,087	5,007,633 6,130,233 1,225 3%

Source: Estimates of Area and Production of Principal Crops in India 1954-55 to 1964-65, and 1972-73.

Census of India, 1971, Part II A (ii), Union Primary Census Abstract.

Table II - Arca, Production and Field of Ainc - pre 1947 1/

Area in million acres froduction in million tens yield in k_0 per ha.

British terr	itories	1928-29 to 1930-31	1934-35 to 1936-37
Arsen	- Area	4.62	5.20
	Production	1.47	1.66
	Vield	799	801
Bengal ² /	Area	20.73	21 .27
	Production	9.03	9 .43
	Yield	1,093	995
Biltor	- Area	10.79	9•94
	Production	4.36	3•10
	Yield	1,014	783
Oriesa ³ /	- Area	3.58	5.03
	Production	1.38	1.45
	Yield	1,025	716
Central Prov	inces		
ani Bevar	- Area	5•49	5.63
	Production	1•55	1.67
	Wield	7 09	744
Madras	- Arca	11.52	10,25
	Production	0.20	7,64
	Yield	1,171	1,185
Utited Pro- vinces	- Area Production Yield	6 .9 2 1.46 5 2 9	6.69 1.97 739

Source: Report on the Marketing of Rice in India and Jurma, St. Lt., Government of India Press, 1941, Appendiced LLE in Vi.

Notesi

^{1/} The data are averages for the two triendia

^{2/} Includes both East and West Bengal

^{2/} Data for the first triennium exclude Gangan and Konapan districts. Data for the second triennium from veruges over only 1935-36 and 1936-37.

 $^{4/1 \}text{ ton} = 1016 \text{ kg.} 1 \text{ ha} = 2.77 \text{ acros.}$

Table III : Irrigated Rice area as a % of gross cropped area under rice

Average of 1927-28	to 1936-37	1969–70		
		Andhra Pradesh	0.946	
Assam	0.125	Assam	0.107	
Bihar	0.291	Bihox	0.346	
		Karnataka	0.649	
		Kerala	0.545	
Central Provinces	and'			
Berar	0.164	Madhya Pradesh	0.156	
Bombay	0.100	Maharashtra	0.237	
Orissa	0.167	Crissa ² /	0.228	
Madras	0.721	Tamil Madu	0.922	
United Provinces	0.090	Uttar Fradesh	0.166	
Bengal	0.071	West Bengal	0.269	

Sources: Rice Marketing Committee Report, 1941, op.cit., Appendix IV

Indian Agricultural Statistics, 1967-66 to 1969-70, Vol.II

Notes:

- 1. Includes both East and West Bengal
- 2. Data refer to 1967-68.

TABLE IV: Transplanted Area as a % of total rice area

		1934-35 to 1936-37	1946-47 to 1948-49
ússam		0.825	0,80
Bihar	- Bihar proper	0,623	0.55
	 Chhota Nagpur a Santal Parganas 		
Central J	Provinces and Berar	0.187	0,20
Orissa		0.460	0.35
ladras		0.718	0.86
United Pr	rovinces	0.393	0.40
Bengal		0.49€	0.801/
		•	

Sources: Rice Marketing Committee Report, 1941, op.cit.,
Appendix XXXIV

Rice Economy of India, Government of India, Ministry of Food and Agriculture, 1961, p.41 (taken from the Report on the Marketing of Rice in India, 1954)

Notes: 1. Only West Bengal.

Table Va : I gression results

(Dependent variable - ratio of women agricultural labourers to the female rural population, 1971)

Independent variable	Coefficient	Std. error	t-value
Intercept	- 0.1276	0.0527	2.4194*
Gini coefficient of own land	ed 0.3392	Ó•0713	4.7600***
Population density	- 0.0036	0.0014	2.6962
Rice area	0.0267	0.0195	1.3721
Cross Cropped area Net sown area	- 0.0044 .	0.0223	0.1961
Irrigated area	- 0.0343	0.0316	1.0864
Irrigated rice area	0.0910	0.0157	5.8122***

n = 96; $\bar{R} = 0.472$

^{* - 5%} significance level

^{** - 1%} significance level

^{- 0.1%} significance level

Table Vb: Regression results

(Dependent variable - ratio of women agricultural labourers to the female rural population, 1971)

Independent variable	Coefficient	Std.error	t-value
Intercept	- 0.1209	0.0413	2.9246
Gini coefficient of owned land	0.3304	೧.0690	4.7389
Population density	- 0.0031	0.0013	2.4099
Irrigated rice orea	0.0777	0.0106	7•3609

$$n = 96,$$
 $\overline{R}^2 = 0.470$

* - 5% significar a level

** - 1% significance level

- 0.1% significance level

Table VI : Correlation matrix

Women agricultural labourers				
Female rural population	1.0			
Gini coefficient of owned land	0.394	1.0		
Population density	-0.190	- 0.122	1.0	
Irrigated rice area GCA under rice	0.548	0.017	0.067	1.0

Table VII: Regional Distribution of Workers in dehusking, 1961

Name of the control o	Total workers Femoles Males	worl	cers	Household industry workers as a % of total workers
India	286,255 349,121	178,641	115,630	0.463
Andhra Pradesh	7,634 29,947	877	1,168	0.054
Assan	5,130 5,234	4,043	257	0.415
Bihar	40,497 17,287	35,003	7,449	0.735
Karnataka	7,843 13,674	2,185	3,404	0.260
Madhya Pradesh	24,329 24,140	20,128	10,700	0.636
Maharashtra	5,624 29,060	3,495	6 , 675	0.293
Oriosa	19,56, 3,994	15,470	1,830	0.734
Tamil Nadu	12,154 32,296	2,343	845	0.070
Utter Produch	51,000 02,000	48,388	54,101	0,762
West Ben,1	56,619 31.792	30-415	3,019	0.378

Source: <u>Census of Tables</u>, 1961, Vol.I Part II-B(i), General Economic Tables

The data refer to workers in minor occupational group 200 - "production of rice, atta, flour etc. by milling, dehusking and processing of cross and foodgrains".

Table VIII: Regional Distribution of workers dehustring, 1931

	Pamper of the land	rs and Jous	Number employ a per million tons of rice produced	
	Pewelles	Males		
India (British territory plus states and agencies)	462 , 187	117,933		
Assam	6,773	56 1	4,594	
Bihar and Orissa	82,699	9,605	16,00	
Central Provinces and Berar	5,910	3,321	5,249	
Bombay	4,254	2,229	.11.	
Madras	41,449	13,399	10,03;	
United Provinces	126,493	9,575	57 , 07	
Bengal	138,390	10,964	15,758	

Sources: Census of India, 1931, Vol.I, Park II - Imperial Tables, Table X, p.230.

The data refer to occupational aroup 71, and include "principal earners", "working dependents" and those for whom this is a "subsidiary occupation"; the first two categories include the bulk of the workers.

Table IY : Number of rice mills

	End of 1960	End of 1965	197071	Jan.1, 1975
India	34,527	47,175	71,023	91,333
Andhra Pradesh	4,667	7,735	9,605	15,366
Assam	338	441	441	2,295
Bihar	745	1,808	1,828	4,678
larnataka	2,996	4,284	7,171	8,013
Kerala	2,832	3, 643	4,619	8,368
M edhya Pradesh	1,904	2,567	5,198	5,428
Maharashtra	2,208	2,608	4,852	5,626
Orissa	1,386	1,850	1,921	3,243
Tamil Nadu	7,411	8,903	12,455	11,722
Uttar Pradesh	. 1,444	1,699	5,524	6,380
West Bengal	5,211	6,957	6,829	6,507

Source: Eulletin of Food Statistics, various issues.

The data include hullers, shellers and modern rice mills. Each year's data represent the latest available figure for a particular state.



77548
Table X: Matimuses cancert of rice milled, 1959-60

	Middle rice as % of total pro- duction, 1955-54	Rice profue- tion 1959-60 (1000 tone)	Detinate of rice milled 1959-60 (1000 tons,
Andhra Pradesh	49.9	361÷	1803
Agsam	34.0	1629	STA.
Bihar	2.6	3886	101
Kannestaka	95•7	1289	1234
Kerala	30.5	1025	313
Madhya Prade-h	20.9	5116	651
Maharashtra	61.5	15861/	2751/
Orissa	12.8	2137	274
Tamil Wadu	91.6	3406	5127
Uttar Praylesh	34.0	2370	80€
West Bengal	29.8	\$172	1243

Source: Rice Meonomy of India, 1961, op.cit., pp.53, 117-118

Notes: 1/ Includes Gujaret

Table XI: Marketable arrolus 1/and milled proportion,

	Marketable surplus as % of production less seed	
Assam	6	3
Bihar & Orissa	33	10
Central Provinces & Berar	38	30
United Provinces	38	7
Madras	67	62
Bengal.2/	4 6	16

Source: Rine Marketing Committee Report, 1941, op.cit., Appendices XXIV and XXV.

Rotesa

Marketable surplus is defined as total production minus the amount retained for domestic consumption, barter, payments-in-kind and seed.

2/ Includes East Bengal.

Postnot

- 1/ See G.Sen, "Women squicultured Labourers regional variations in incidence and corlowment", Sentre for Development Studius, Working Paper No. 168, April 1983.
- 2/ We have exhaded Puriob from our analysis; although rice production has made rapid gains there in the last 5-10 years, it is not traditionally a very important rice area.
- 3/ See C.R. Sminivesan, Report of the rice production and trade in the Madras Presidency Medres, Government Press, 1934, Appendix 2, pp.83-84. Unfortunately we do not know whether this refers to gross or not area irrugated.
- 4/ Transplanting is not inevitably women's work, though it is largely so. See F.Bray, "Recent changes in padi forming in Kelantan, Malaysia", Report for the Royal Academy, the British Society and the Fast Asia History of Science Trust, September 1977, for an example of male labour in transplanting.
- 5/ We have focuseed on women agricultural labourers rather than women cultivators (including family labour). There is, if anything, a negative correlation between the presence of women cultivators in the female rural population and the proportion of gross exopped foodgrain area under paddy.
- 6/ Ibid., pp.17-21.
- I am grat full to N.Krishnaji for ointing this out. He suggested an alternative in the virtual labourers per hecture of gross cropped area, which will be tried in further analysis.
- 8/ Kerala, Origan and Assam were excluded from the regression since I did not have access to district-wase immigration data.
- The low incidence of women agricultural labourers despite widespread transplanting in Assam and West Bengel remains somewhat puzzling. The residuals of the estimated from the actuals show that the regression tends to overestimate the proportion of women agricultural labourers by an average of 1 percentage point in almost all districts of West Bengel. This indicate some additional factors at work in West Bengel, depressing the proportion of women agricultural labourers. One such may be the tendency to use seasonal migrant labourers for peak accesses in at least some districts.

- 10/ An exception is the work of Mukul Mukhopadhyay. See "Impact of moder disation on wemen's occupations: a case study of the rice husking industry of Bangala, "Indian Recomme and Social History Review, Vol.XX, No.1, January-March 1983, pp.27-46.
- 11/ See Report on the Marketing of Rice in India and Durac, 19/1, Rice Economy of India, 1961. Three other reports to which I have not had direct access are Report on the Marketing of rice in India, 1954, Report of the Rice Milling Committee, 1955, and Rice Economy of India, 1973.
- According to him, while part of the decline was due to a change in occupational categories, ".....there seems to be reasons to believe that the very laborious occupation of husking rice in pits with enormous postles, and the almost equally laborious occupation of grinding flour by hand (otherwise than for purely domestic needs) have given tplace to mechanical methods, which render necessary a very much smaller number of persons..." (Census of India, 1921, VIII, Bombay Presidency, Part I, General Report, p.229).
- These numbers are obtained from the Census of India, Imperial Tables on occupations for 1911, 1921 and 1931. The data cover British territories, states and agencies for the occupation "rice pounders, huskers, and flour grinders" which was occupational group number 56 in 1911, 65 in 1921 and 71 in 1931. They include "actual workers" plus "partially agriculturists" and "subsidiary occupations" in 1931. Between 1911 and 1921, total workers plus their dependents in this occupational groups declined from 1,575,122 to 1,139,345.
- 14/ While small hullers had an annual output rating of 12,000 maunds, lambullers can process 48,000 maunds. (1 maund = 373.22 kg). See A S Bhalla, "Choosing technique: handpounding versus machine milling of rice + an indian case", Oxford Economic Papers, Vol.17, No.1., March 1965, pp.147-157.
- 15/ The rank correlation coefficient for the eleven states is 0.845. significant at almost the 0.1% level. The rank correlation coefficient between the estimated amount milled and the proportion of employed in the non-household sector of rice processing in 1961 is 0.641, significant at the 5% level.
- 16/ See Mukhopadhyay, op.cit., p.39-40, using the Report of the Rice Milling Committee, 1955 as the source.
- 17/ The rank correlation coefficient for the nine provinces for which data are provided is 0.767, significant at the 5% level.
- 18/ In 1953-54, the unit cost of processing paddy in a rice mill had between half to three-fourths the cost of handpounding in six of the main rice producing states. (Mulhopadhyay, op.cit., p.41, the Report of the Rice Milling Committee, 1955 is the source).
- 19/ Report of the Rice Marketing Committee, 1941, op.cit., p.163.