

Kulkarni, Sudha.: Claiming our Sexuality. In: Our Lives Our Health. Edited by Dr. Malini Karkal. Co-ordination Unit. World Conference on Women. Beijing 1995. Aug 1995 .p.76-82.

---

## **Abortion: Who is Responsible for Our Rights?**

*Amar Jesani*  
*Aditi Iyer*

### **Introduction**

Throughout history, women have practiced forms of birth control and abortion. These practices have generated intense moral, ethical, political and legal debates since abortion is not merely a techno-medical issue, but, "the fulcrum of a much broader ideological struggle in which the very meanings of the family, the state, motherhood and young women's sexuality are contested" (Petchesky R.P,1986 : vii).

Women have overtly or covertly resorted to abortion, but their access to services has been countered by the imposition of social and legal restrictions, many of which have origin in morality and religion. The norms governing the ethics of abortion have been constantly remoulded to suit the times and the social contexts, in which they are set. Despite the dissimilarities in their construct, intent and orientation, these norm's have invariably been directed to the fulfillment of social needs, that do not recognize women's right to determine their sexuality, fertility and reproduction.

This paper reviews the abortion scenario, with particular reference to India. A brief historical account of the role of the medical profession in criminalising and decriminalizing abortion services, is followed by a discussion on the politics of abortion in India. An analytic review of the abortion situation in India, provides the reader with information about legal and illegal abortions and the paper concludes by placing the issue of abortion in the context of social (rather than individual) needs and rights.

### **The Medical, Profession and Abortion**

The early abortionists, in Europe, were lay women healers who practiced 'medicine' among the peasantry. When the male-dominated profession of medicine emerged as a formidable force in the mid-nineteenth century, its practitioners went about the task of weakening competition from all 'non-professional' practitioners, a majority of whom were women and providers of

abortion services. The first organized attack on abortion was thus spearheaded by doctors. The Hippocratic Oath, which, provides the foundation of medical ethics, prohibits physicians from conducting abortions (MacKinney L, 1952). It was to this Oath, that the medical profession reverted, for its rationale on the question of abortion.

In its 1859 convention, the American Medical Association (AMA) declared that the practice of abortion, should be outlawed. This was followed, a decade later by the Church when, in 1869, the Apostolic sedis Pius IX pronounced that abortion was a transgression of the faith and a ground for excommunication (Hurst, 1991). Thus, by the 1870s, the medical profession and the Church had joined forces in criminalizing abortion and succeeded in prohibiting its practice. As an exception, the induced abortion was allowed only for therapeutic purpose of saving the life of pregnant woman. This decree remained in force for a century till 1973, when the US Supreme Court initiated the process of liberalization through its ruling on the Roe vs Wade case.

In the UK, the Abortion Act of 1967 liberalized abortion services up to 28 weeks of pregnancy. This is appended with a cautionary note by the British Medical Association (BMA), which, issued that 'the doctor should recommend or perform termination after 20 weeks only if he is convinced that the health of the woman is seriously threatened or if there is good reason to believe that the child will be seriously handicapped' (BMA, 1988: 80).

As the process of liberalization of the law on abortion spread across various countries, international medical organizations were compelled to make their positions clear. Thus the Declaration of Oslo issued by the World Medical Association in 1970, conceded to the need to provide abortion services. The document stated, 'where the law allows therapeutic abortion to be performed, the procedure should be performed by a physician competent to do so in premises approved by the appropriate authority'.

Here, the definition of what is therapeutic, becomes important. During the era of criminalization, therapeutic abortions were conducted to save the life of the pregnant woman or to prevent maternal mortality. During the era of liberalization, however, this definition is widened to include potential or expected medical or psychological morbidity and this is articulated in the form of a number of legal conditions. The concept of the risk to women's life is thus broadened, and, by treating induced abortion as a therapeutic intervention, the medical profession is in a position to accommodate induced abortion in its ethical system with few problems while maintaining its monopoly over the process. Liberalization of this kind, does not empower women with a fundamental right to abortion, but merely liberalizes their access, to services at

the hands of medical practitioners. This helps the social relations within a patriarchal system to remain unchallenged and intact.

On the whole, the medical profession has vehemently criminalized abortion, but remained ambiguous over its stand on the issue of liberalization. At no stage, however, did the issue of abortion jeopardize the material interests of the profession. While criminalization helped it to eliminate competition from indigenous (female) practitioners in the 19th century, liberalization only empowered it with greater legal and normative authority.

## **Politics of Abortion in India**

### **Liberalization of abortion in India**

In India, abortions were prohibited (unless medically indicated) till the Medical Termination of Pregnancy (MTP) Act was passed. The demand for a liberalized law did not originate from the women's movement, which suffered the absence of a strong feminist current, until the early 1970s. The result was that the movement of the time was focussed, on the subversion of criminal law, without an independent charter of political demands.

The challenge of persuading policy makers, was taken on instead, by demographers and doctors who were, in turn, directed by their professional interests and ideologies. While proponents of family planning and population control favoured liberalization with a view to lowering the birth rate, the medical profession was concerned about the adverse effects that abortions (conducted under unhygienic conditions by non-qualified, untrained and ill-equipped providers) could -have on the health of women.

A quick examination of an annotated bibliography of abortion studies, conducted in the 1950s and 1960s (Karkal M, 1970) reveals that the research agenda was geared towards understanding and calculating incidence patterns in the context of age, socioeconomic background, duration of marriage, pregnancy and contraceptive histories. With the growing emphasis on family planning in the health agenda in the 1960s, academicians interested in population control were prompted, to draw a link between, liberalization and population control. In this context, themes such as liberalization vis-a-vis its birth-control potential, as well as, the possible implications of liberalization on the social and cultural fabric began to appear. Many scholars also calculated how many abortions were required to save a birth.

In the mid-1960s, the Government of India appointed a committee under the chairmanship of a medical professional, Dr. Shantilal Shah. A report was

submitted on December 30, 1966 and in 1971, the MTP Act was passed by parliament.

### **The Medical Termination of Pregnancy (MTP) Act**

The MTP Act in India is founded on the principles of the British act passed by its parliament in 1967. As an opening paragraph states, the MTP Act is designed to provide for the termination for certain pregnancies by registered Medical Practitioners and for matters connected therewith or incidental thereto" (emphasis added). In essence, it liberalizes and (attempts to) regularize medical practices and institutions in relation to abortion and, consequently, allows medical liberalization to supersede medical criminalization.

Clearly, the MTP Act does NOT encompass a fundamental right to induced abortion but is limited to the liberalization of the conditions under which, women may have access to abortion services, provided by approved medical practitioners. Medical liberalization, therefore, necessitates medicalization of the liberalized conditions given in the Act. This is done by expanding the earlier medical indication, of saving a pregnant woman, to include medical and psychological morbidity or the potential of such morbidity if the woman is forced to carry an unwanted pregnancy to full term. Thus, from the medical angle, the termination of a pregnancy becomes a therapeutic intervention, rather than a right.

The liberalized law confers a position of predominance of medical practitioners, who mediate women's access to abortion services-pregnancies cannot be terminated in approved centres unless they are authorized by doctors. The two considerations that are brought into play are the length and type of pregnancy. According to the Act, the termination of pregnancies up to 12 weeks can be authorized by one doctor while those between 12 to 20 weeks necessitate the opinions of two doctors. The Act also enjoins doctors to take cognisance of the "actual or reasonable foreseeable environment" that run the risk of injuring the pregnant woman's health. In this connection, a pregnancy following rape (marital rape not included) or failure of contraception (for married women) are mentioned as specific indicators in two separate explanatory notes. The other health conditions visualized, are "physical or mental abnormalities' that might "seriously handicap" the unborn child.

Clearly, the pregnant woman seeking abortion cannot avoid giving an explanation. To say that pregnancy was wanted at the time of conception, but is unwanted now, disqualifies her. She is required to furnish explanations, that fit into, the broadly liberal though restrictive conditions, listed in the Act. This situation keeps the Act open to differing interpretations. The current

preoccupation with population control and the somewhat dubious motivations of the medical profession have, ironically, lent a liberal interpretation of the law. However, the danger that this liberal interpretation could become a restrictive one, without a single word of the text being altered remains. This could easily happen under different socioeconomic and demographic compulsions.

The Act also requires that abortion be induced legally only by a registered Medical Practitioner 'who has such experience or training in gynaecology and obstetrics' and conducted only at a place that is sanctioned by the appropriate authority (if the facilities available follow the standards prescribed in the Rules of the Act). This stipulation is essential and laudable. However, a liberalized law has little meaning for the many women who wish to terminate their pregnancies in the absence of well-developed network of abortion facilities. The MTP Act fails to regard the right to access as a justiciable right and is, therefore, ineffectual in curbing the incidence of illegal abortions.

### **Liberalization of Abortion and the Women's Movement**

The issue of abortion (let alone its liberalization) has failed to become an integral component of the agenda of the women's movement, even as the feminist current has gained in strength, in the last decade. Perhaps, this has happened because of the non-combative stand of anti-abortion votaries. This is not the case in many developed countries, where the movement is pitted against powerful anti-abortion and anti-contraceptive movements which are systematically backed up by christian orthodoxy and right-wing political forces. In some of these countries, abortions are still criminalized. The case of the pregnant 14 year old in Ireland in the not-so-distant past who set off massive people's protest (resulting into an over-rule of the legal order), when she was legally prohibited from undergoing abortion in her country (and abroad as well) and the recent killings of few of the medical persons providing abortions services in the U.S., highlight the context in which priorities of the movement, are shaped. As a result, abortion and contraception have become important programmatic components of the struggle of western feminists.

The fact that behind the seemingly liberal availability of abortion services lies legislation, that could be easily invoked, to restrict access, is perhaps also not fully appreciated. For, the law does not endorse women's legal right to abortion, but ends up being a regulatory mechanism of doctors and abortion centers. In developed countries, which have liberal laws as well, the gains of the women's movement have been transient. For instance, the 1973 US Supreme Court decision on abortion in the Roe vs Wade case made abortion legally available to women, but the subsequent decision in 1989 with the Webster case, signaled a

retreat from Roe. The task of keeping vigilance after legalization is, therefore, as important as the struggle for legalization.

### **Post-liberalization Research on Abortion**

Research on abortion after liberalization, is marked, by the absence of social content. The feminists involved in medical, health care and women's studies have also largely neglected this issue, as is evident, from the virtual absence of qualitative and social studies on the abortion. Analysis of state policies, legal provisions, characteristics of providers and the problems of physical and financial, access to, abortion services explain the complex ways, in which the politics of abortion, operate in a given society. However, abortion is not merely an issue of the political and legal rights, of women but of their reproductive rights as well. This includes the right to have, as well as not to have children. The issue of abortion, thus, needs to be embedded in the context of women's reproductive needs, sexuality, emotions, health status and, above all, their immediate familial, social, economic, occupational and cultural environment. Unfortunately, research from this perspective is hard to come by.

Firstly, studies on abortion practices have been conducted, without exception, from the stand point of providers, policy makers and the State, rather than on the needs of women. Secondly, most of these studies, include women in legally approved institutions--usually medical college hospitals and big government or non-governmental organization hospitals--which are easy to identify and access. However, the frequent omission of private hospitals and nursing homes in sampling means that the data generated by these studies do not accurately reflect the existing scenario. Thirdly, despite the predominantly rural location of the population, a majority of the studies have been conducted in urban areas. Fourthly, the state of knowledge of abortion shows a great paucity of community or household studies, which would make it possible to include the women, who utilize unregistered institutions and providers. The only community based study conducted so far (ICMR, 1989) looked into the aspect of Illegal Abortions in Rural Areas in five states. Lastly, there is a dearth of psycho-social studies on abortion. Abortion is recognized as a traumatic experience for women both physically and psychologically. In fact, socio-psychological support for women undergoing abortion, is now considered to be an integral aspect of abortion services in developed countries. Unfortunately, in India, these factors are not properly recognized and the tendency is to adopt a conveyor belt' approach to abortion services and research.

The preponderance of medical studies on abortion have, more or less, precluded social science studies. Research enterprises have failed to focus on women, their

problems, their reasons for making the difficult decision to abort and, above a 11, the quality of abortion services.

## **The Abortion Scenario in India**

### **Health Services**

A review of the distribution of health care services in India brings to light the dominance of the private sector and its urban concentration. Although there were 9,28,072 qualified doctors of all systems of medicine (43 per cent being allopathic practitioners) or one doctor for 967 persons in 1991, a majority resided in urban areas and worked in the private sector. According to the 1981 Census, only 41 per cent of all doctors (and only 27 per cent of all alopaths) worked in rural areas, while fewer than 15 per cent worked in the government sector (Jesani A and Anantharam S, 1990).

This picture is borne out by the skewed distribution of institutions providing health care in general. In 1992, rural areas were provided with health care services by a network of 22,441 PHCs, which covered an average population of 28,009. Most of these do not have facilities for indoor medical care while some of them have facilities for sterilization' operations and wards for post-operative sterilization cases. With only 22,013 doctors employed in all these PHCS, there was less than one doctor per PHC!. For the same period, there were 11,174 hospitals and 6,42,103 hospital beds, defining a ratio of one hospital for 75,739 persons and one hospital bed for 1,319 persons. However, only 32 per cent of the hospitals (with a ratio of one hospital for 1,76,163 persons) and 19.7 per cent of the hospital beds (with a ratio of one hospital bed for 4,970 persons) were located in rural areas.

On the question of quality of services, it is apparent from the wealth of literature available that the public health services are plagued by inadequate facilities and infrastructure, misplaced priorities, inadequate and irrationally utilized finances. An evaluation of the quality of family welfare services provided by 298 PHCs in 199 districts in 18 states and one union territory revealed that only 12 per cent of the PHCs (mostly in Maharashtra), fulfilled the required population coverage norm, of 30,000. The study observed a substantial shortage of Auxiliary Nurse Midwives (ANMs), unavailability of oxygen (in approximately 40 per cent of the PHCS) and supportive drugs in emergencies (in 30 per cent of PHCS), inadequate stocks of antibiotics (in 60 per cent of the PHCS), a total absence of records (in one-third of the PHCS) and an absence of a labour room and an operation theatre (in one-fourth to' one-fifth of the PHCS). Wherever they existed, they were poorly equipped and managed. What is interesting is that a majority of the PHCs were lacking in functional equipment and/or trained

manpower to carry out pregnancy termination even after two decades of the Act (ICMR, 1991).

On the other hand, the flourishing private sector, which is founded on the principle of profit making, is characterized by irrational (often unnecessary) diagnostic, medical and surgical practices, inadequate equipment and facilities, and unstandardised charging practices (Nandraj S, 1994). Micro studies on expenditure on health care show, that the per capita expenditure on health care (which is mostly obtained from the private sector) accounts for a substantial proportion of the total consumption expenditure per family. A study in an average district of Maharashtra calculated this proportion to be 7.6 per cent (Duggal R and Amin S, 1989) while- another study in two backward districts of Madhya Pradesh calculated this proportion to be 8.4 per cent (George A. et al, 1993). What is more, this proportionate share of expenditure on health is seen to be steadily increasing among lower socioeconomic class families (ibid). Therefore, access to private health services is restricted by high costs.

The private health sector is also an unregulated sector. Apart from Delhi and Maharashtra, none of the other states and union territories have any rules, acts, regulatory and monitoring mechanisms over private health establishments (Nandraj S., 1994). Even in those states that have some legislation to go by, the Acts, by which nursing homes can be regulated, are not enforced.

For instance, in Delhi, out of an underestimated total of 545 private nursing homes, only 25 per cent are registered and, according to the Health Ministry, over 20 per cent cannot be improved which would result in their closure (Raina J., 1992). Similarly, in response to a public interest litigation filed by the Bombay Group of 'Medico Friend Circle', the Bombay Municipal Corporation (the legal regulatory authority) could not furnish information on nursing homes located in 25 per cent of all wards in Bombay. These woeful gaps in information, have been matched in the last few years, by stories in the local press of medical malpractice and negligence.

### **The Delivery System for the MTP**

MTP services are offered in India through a network of institutions in rural and urban areas, in the public and private sectors. The approved centres include teaching hospitals, district hospitals, rural hospitals, Community Health Centres (CHCs), Primary Health Centres (PHCs), as well as privately-run hospitals and nursing homes in urban and rural areas. Information about its distribution between rural and urban areas, between the public and private sectors is not routinely published by the government (although this could easily be done). However, studies occasionally include databases on the facilities for abortions in

a state or in a selected sample. A study analyzing data of 46,858 MTPs in Maharashtra, over a three year period (1972 to 1975), showed that 93 per cent of the MTPs were conducted in. urban areas. 71 per cent of the approved MTP centres in Maharashtra were in the private sector. Nearly half the registered doctors (ie.47.2 per cent) and institutions (i.e.45 per cent) were based in Bombay alone (Rao V.N. and Pense G.A., 1975). Another study covering 88 CHCs/PHCs and 55 private clinics in 11 districts of Gujarat showed that 58.7 per cent of the 816 approved abortion centres in Gujarat were run by an essentially private-for-profit non-governmental sector (Barge S. et.al., 1994). Unpublished data for the state of Maharashtra showed that in 1992-93, 70.3 per cent of all approved centres were in the private sector. However, not all approved centres in the public health services perform MTPS. This contention is borne out by the study in Gujarat (*ibid*).

### Legal Abortions

Information about the number of MTPs conducted by approved institutions in the various states, is published every other year by the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare. In order to understand the availability and utilization of approved abortion facilities, we have drawn on this data for analysis (refer to Table 1). The data reveals that between 1976-77 and 1990-91, while the number of approved institutions under the provisions of the Act tripled, the number of MTPs conducted only doubled. The average number of MTPs per center decreased from 130 to 85. Above all, the percentage increase in the number of MTPs has been very poor in the last five years.

**Table 1:** Legal Abortion in India

Year	Number of approved institutions	Percent increase in institutions over previous year	Number of MTPs done	Percent increase in MTPs over previous year	Average No. of MTPs per institution
1972-76	1877	--	3,81,111	--	--
1976-77	2149	--	2,78,870	--	130
1977-78	2746	27.8	2,41,049	-11.4	90
1978-79	2765	0.7	3,17,732	28.6	115
1979-80	2942	6.4	3,60,838	13.6	123
1980-81	3294	12.0	3,88,405	7.6	118
1981-82	3908	18.6	4,33,527	11.6	111
1982-83	4170	6.7	5,16,142	19.1	124

1983-84	4553	9.2	5,47,323	6.0	120
1984-85	4921	8.1	5,77,931	5.6	177
1985-86	5528	12.3	5,83,704	1.0	106
1986-87	5820	5.3	5,88,406	0.8	101
1987-88	6126	5.3	5,84,870	-0.6	96
1988-89	6291	2.7	5,82,161	-0.5	93
1989-90	6681	6.2	5,96,357	2.4	89
1990-91*	6859	2.7	5,80,744	-2.6	85
Totals			75,65,170		

\* Provisional.

Source : "Family Welfare Year Book, 1991-92", New Delhi : Government of India, 1992

If we consider the average annual percentage increase in five yearly intervals, we find this rate to be 8.9 per cent per annum between 1976-77 to 1980-81; 9.8 per cent per annum (ie. a marginal increase) between 1980-81 and 1984-85; and a mere 0.2 per cent per annum between 1984-85 to 1988-89. Thereafter, the period 1988-89 to 1989-90 saw an increase of 2.4 per cent only to decline between 1989-90 and 1990-91 by 2.6 per cent. In the corresponding periods, the number of approved institutions increased at the rate of 10.2 per cent, 9.9 per cent and 5.6 per cent per annum respectively, and at 6.2 per cent in 1989-90 and 2.7 per cent in 1990-91. Thus, the tempo with which the first institutions were established after liberalization, seems to have waned progressively.

In absolute terms, having over six thousand approved institutions and over half a million MTPs may appear to be high but the distribution is highly skewed between states and in the context of utilization patterns (Table 2).

**Table 2: State-wise Abortion Rates and Institutions**

States and Union Territories (1991)	Percent of population	Total number of MTPs conducted (1972 & 1991)	Number of MTPs conducted in (1990-91)	Induced abortion rate	Number of approved institutions (1991)	Average population coverage by institutions
Maharashtra	9.3	12,15,661 (16.1)	1,22,337 (21.1)	1.55	1,561 (22.8)	50,568

Uttar Pradesh	16.4	13,96,709 (18.5)	1,03,482 (17.8)	0.74	425 (6.2)	3,27,323
Tamil Nadu	6.6	7,91,571 (10.5)	51,263 (8.8)	0.92	456 (6.6)	1,22,498
West Bengal	8.1	5,26,327 (7.0)	41,054 (7.1)	0.60	452 (6.6)	1,50,615
Madhya Pradesh	7.8	3,45,605 (4.6)	28,431 (4.9)	0.43	286 (4.2)	2,31,403
Orissa	3.7	2,84,157 (3.8)	21,977 (3.8)	0.69	169 (2.5)	1,87,336
Kerala	3.4	5,04,113 (6.7)	22,197 (3.8)	0.76	359 (5.2)	81,054
The Others	44.7	25,01,027 (33.1)	1,90,003 (32.7)	0.05	3,151 (45.9)	1,17,315
Totals	100.0	75,65,170 (100)	5,80,744 (100)	0.69	6,859 (100)	1,22,260

Population : 846,302,688

Note: Figure in parenthesis are percentages of the vertical total

Source: Ibid.

As Table 2 shows, in 1990-91, three states, viz. Uttar Pradesh, Maharashtra and Tamil Nadu, constituting 32.3 per Cent of India's population, accounted for 47.7 per cent of the total number of MTPs and 35.6 per cent of the approved institutions. This is compounded by the overwhelmingly urban location, of approved institution in all States. Maharashtra having only 9.3 per cent of country's population alone had 22.8 per cent of all institutions in that year. There was one approved institution for 1,22,260 people in 1990-91. The state wise distribution of approved MTP institutions was relatively good in Maharashtra (one for 50,568) but the worst in Uttar Pradesh (one for 3,27,32.3 which, also accounted for the second highest number of MTPs (17.8 per cent). Three leading states, Maharashtra, UP and Tamil Nadu with little less than one third of country's population accounted for 45.1 per cent of all legal abortions done since 1972 and 47.7 per cent of those in 1990-91.

### Illegal Abortions

Since no reliable data on abortions performed outside recognized places is available, for obvious reasons, we are compelled to go by estimates and the results of surveys. The Report of the Committee to Study the Question of

Legalization of Abortion (GOI, 1966), also referred to as the Shantilal Shah Committee Report, calculated a figure of 3.9 million induced abortions all of which were illegal since they preceded legalization. Another estimate puts the figure as 4 to 6 million (Goyal A.S., 1978). A multi-center study conducted between 1983 and 1985 in five States-UP, Rajasthan, Orissa, Haryana and Tamil Nadu concluded that there were 2.2 illegal abortions per every legal abortion (ICMR, 1989). The latest estimate contends a rate of 3 illegal abortions to one legal abortion, in rural areas and a corresponding ratio of 4-5:1 in urban areas (Karkal M, 1991).

We feel that these rates are under-estimations. In order to arrive at a conservative estimate for the year 1991, we shall use the ratios mentioned in the Shantilal Shah Committee Report. The Report states, 'If it is assumed that for every 73 live births, 25 abortions (i.e. 34.3 per cent) take place annually and of these 15 are induced (i.e.60 per cent), then in a population of 1000 there may be approximately 13.5 abortions (corresponding to the prevailing birth rate of 39) and of these, 8 will be induced. Thus at the 1991 population of 846.3 million and a birth rate of 30.2 per 1000, in India, we had 8.8 million abortions of which 5.3 million were induced. This gives an Abortion Rate of 10.4 per 1000 and an Induced Abortion Rate of 6.2. Of the 5.3 million induced abortions in the country in 1991, only 0.58 million were legal and the rest i.e. 4.72 million were illegal. This gives us a ratio of 8 illegal abortions for one legal abortion.

The main reasons for seeking illegal abortions are found to be due to financial strain, poverty and social factors like an unmarried, widowed or separated marital status (Phillips F.S. & Ghose N., 1976). There are two other important studies on the medical consequences of induced (legal and illegal) abortions by the ICMR. The first was conducted in 1981 - "Short term Sequelae of Induced Abortion" and the second, in 1982, was titled---Septic Abortion'. Phillips and Ghose (1976) found that twigs of *Calatropis giganteae* was most commonly used by unauthorized providers of abortion services. In their study 'Criminal Abortion in Western India, Bhatt and Soni (1973) found that the introduction of a vegetable stick was the most common practice. Most of the studies conducted before 1980 have found that, at the village level, induced abortion services are predominantly provided by traditional birth attendants, most of whom are illiterate women.

A community based survey of 10,000 women and 1200 providers (ICMR, 1989) found that although a majority of the women were aware of induced abortions, more than one third (i.e. 38 per cent) were unaware that, induced abortions could be labeled as 'legal' or 'illegal'. A dismaying finding for members of the Task Force was, that women in PHC villages were almost totally unaware, about the availability of MTP services at the PHC (except in UP and Tamil Nadu where they had some knowledge). Women from sub-center villages, where MTP

services were not provided, were more aware about this facility. The Task Force discovered that ANMs and 'Lady Health Visitors, who are not authorized to do MTPS, used government and PHC facilities for conducting abortions in connivance with doctors and thus making illegal abortions more rampant. Interestingly, the study revealed that women were aware of different types of unauthorized induced abortion even beyond a four month, gestation period. Even when women went to government and PHC doctors, they were made to pay fees for services rendered. Above all, the study found that a majority of abortions are still conducted using indigenous methods. Further, it found that amongst literate and unauthorized providers, the proportion of males was significantly high.

Thus, in the post liberalization period, the providers of illegal abortions are not only indigenous practitioners, but, also qualified practitioners who may not have registered themselves, for providing MTP services. Similarly, the place where illegal abortions are carried out are not only the homes and clinics of the indigenous and non-qualified practitioners, but also well-equipped hospitals and nursing homes, which are not registered under the Act. Finally, therefore, all institutions properly registered under the MTP Act are not necessarily hygienic nor are all unregistered centers unhygienic.

## **Conclusion**

The debate on abortion and the role of a liberal law in a country like India must take cognizance, at the very least, of the provision of general health care services. With about 73 per cent of India's population living in rural areas, the provision of free, rational and universally accessible health care is crucial and important at all times to all people (which includes the women who experience morbidity following abortions). However, the foregoing review shows that basic health care services, leave alone abortion services, are beyond the reach of many. Moreover, the conveyor belt approach that most approved centers adopt, only ends up making abortion services insensitive to the women who demand them.

For a liberalized law to be effective, in providing free, safe and humane abortions on demand, it needs to be accompanied by other social inputs like greater empowerment of women especially in their control over their bodies and their sexuality. In situations where women have relatively better control in decision making and access to contraception (for example, countries in Eastern Europe which provide extensive and reliable data) liberalization is accompanied first by a rising trend in the incidence of induced abortions, which stabilizes after a point and finally declines, once women improve their skills in avoiding unwanted pregnancies. This has not happened in India.

The knowledge that liberalization has failed to bring down the incidence of illegal abortions, to improve the health of women and the fact, that it is tagged to the population programme, has bred a fair deal of scepticism among some Indian academicians. Through a presumed belief, in the accessibility of abortion services as a natural consequence of liberalization, they believe that women have increasingly been pushed into utilizing these services. However, statistics reveal that legalization has not significantly increased the rate of legal abortions (Table 1). Further, by doing away with legalized abortion services, can a given society reduce abortions and can that automatically improve women's health? Historical and contemporary evidence demonstrates that it is not possible for the state to achieve complete control over women's bodies through its employment of technology, legal prohibitions and repression. In Romania, for example, Ceausescu proscribed abortions for 14 years and bolstered that policy with intensive repressive measures. Yet, in the 1980s, Romania surpassed virtually all other European nations in the rates of abortion and abortion related mortality (Jacobson J, 1990: 5).

The dilemma expressed by the sceptic highlights the limitation of treating abortions as a civil right for individual freedom and privacy. Legality provides only a thin cover, a political legitimacy that is necessary but not sufficient, to change the material conditions of women's lives. Firstly, it makes it possible for anti-abortionist & under a conservative political climate, to juxtapose the civil rights of the unborn child with the civil right of the pregnant woman. This has happened in the U.S. Opinion polls on the issue of abortion since 1973 show that Americans are deeply ambivalent on the issue of abortion. More than two-thirds consistently say that although they believe abortion to be wrong and immoral, the ultimate decision should be made by a woman and her physician rather than by a government decree (Annas, 1989). Anti-abortionists attempt to translate the conviction that abortions constitute 'an act of immorality' into government sanctioned legal restrictions and have been fairly successful in juxtaposing the civil rights of the pregnant woman with those of the unborn child.

Secondly, a civil right to abortion does not amount to a social right, which is accompanied by all the necessary enabling conditions, that makes it concretely realizable and universally available. Further, a really safe abortion is possible, only by embedding abortion services, in a full range of social services-health care, pre-natal care, safe child birth, child care, safe and reliable contraception, sex education, protection from sexual and sterilization Abuse, etc. These social services must function, under the organized vigilance of women's groups, to ensure that women do really get access to such services.

Moreover, abortion is not merely an issue of political and legal conflict but of social, cultural and moral conflict as well. Good social services expand the scope

of what is meant by "women's reproductive freedom" and are, therefore, of utmost relevance and urgency. However, this could result only in a partial or total shift in child rearing responsibilities from women to men and ease the burdensome aspect of motherhood (through improved benefits and services). Petchesky argues that "it may also operate to perpetuate the existing sexual division of labour and women's social subordination" and suggests that the realization of "women's reproductive freedom" will have to be part of the radical transformation in the social relations of reproduction and production (Petchesky 1986: 16-17). In Hilda Scott's words, "---no decisive changes can be brought about by measures aimed at women alone, but, rather, the division of functions between sexes must be changed in such a way, that men and women have the same opportunities to be active parents and to be gainfully employed. This makes women's emancipation, not merely a women's question, but, a function of the general drive for greater equality which affects everyone .... the care of children becomes a fact which society has to take into consideration" (Scott 1974: 190).

(We gratefully acknowledge Malini Karkals critical comments in the earlier draft of this paper).

## References

1. Annas George J (1989), 'The Supreme Court, Privacy and Abortion' in The New England Journal of Medicine, Vol.321, No.17, pp 1200-03, October 26.
2. Barge S, Kini M and Nair S.B. (1994), 'Situation Analysis of MTP Facilities' in Gujarat, paper prepared for the workshop on Service Delivery System in Induced Abortion between February 22-23,1994, Centre for Operations
3. Research and Training.
4. Bhatt R.V and Soni J.M. (1973), 'Criminal Abortion in Western India' in Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology of India, 23 (3).
5. British Medical Association (1988), Philosophy and Practice of Medical Ethics, BMA, London.
6. Duggal Ravi and Amin Sucheta (1989), Cost of Health Care.: A Household Survey in an Indian District, Foundation for Research in Community Health, Bombay.

7. George A, Shah I and Nandraj S (1993), Household Health Expenditures in Madhya Pradesh, Foundation for Research in Community Health, Bombay.
8. Government of India (1966), Report of the Committee to Study the Question of Legalisation of Abortion (Shantilal Shah Committee Report), Ministry of Health and Family Planning, Government of India, New Delhi.
9. Government of India (1992), Family Welfare Programme in India. Year Book 1990-91, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Department of Family Welfare, New Delhi.
10. Goyal R.S. (1978), 'Legalisation of Abortion : A Social Perception' in Health and Population : Perspectives and issues, Vol 4, pp 302-08, October-December
11. Hurst Jane (1991). Abortion in Good Faith : The History of Abortion in the Catholic Church : The Untold Story'in Conscience, Vol.,XII, No.2,.March-April 1991.
12. ICMR (1989), Illegal Abortions in Rural Areas, Indian Council for Medical Research, New Delhi.
13. ICMR (1991), Evaluation of Quality of Family Welfare Services at Primary Health Center Level, Indian Council for Medical Research, New Delhi.
14. Jacobson Jodi (1990), The Global Politics of Abortion, World Watch Institute, World Watch paper No.97, Washington DC.
15. Jesani Amar and Anantharam Saraswathy (1990), Private Sector and Privatisation in the Health Care Services, Foundation for Research in Community Health, Bombay.
16. Karkal Malini (1970), A Bibliography of Abortion Studies in India, International Institute of Population Studies, Bombay.
17. Karkal Malini (1991), 'Abortion Laws and the Abortion Situation in India' in Issues in Reproductive and Genetic Engineering, Vol 4 No.3, pp.223-30.
18. Krishnaraj Maithreyi (1991), Women and Science : Selected Essays, Himalaya Publishing House, Bombay.

19. MacKinney Lorren C (1952), 'Medical Ethics and Etiquettes in Early Middle Ages The Persistence of Hippocratic Ideals', Bulletin of the History of Medicine, VOL XXVI No.1, January-February 1952.
20. Nandraj Sunil (1994), 'Beyond the Law and the Lord : Quality of Private Health Care' in Economic and Political Weekly, Vol XXIX No.27, pp. 1680-85, July 2.
21. Petchesky Rosalind Poilack (1986), *Abortion and Women's Choice : The State, Sexuality and Reproductive Freedom*, Verso, London.
22. Phillips F.S. and Ghose N. (1976), 'Septic Abortion-Three Year Study, 1971-73. Hazards of Septic Abortion as compared to Medical Termination of Pregnancy at Government Earikine Hospital, Madurai' in Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology of India, 26 (5).
23. Raina Jay (1992), 'New Rules for Nursing Homes Soon' in Hindustan Times, New Delhi, January 15.
24. Rao V.N. and Pense G.A. (1975), 'Analysis of Acceptors of MTPs in Maharashtra' in The Journal of Family Welfare, No.XXII, pp.64-73.
25. Scot Hilda (1974), *Does Socialism Liberate Women*, Beacon, Boston, pp.190 as quoted by Petchesky Rosalind Pollack in *Abortion and Women's Choice : The State, Sexuality and Reproductive Freedom*, Verso, London.